Interim Agenda of the Laws and Regulations Committee

Joe Gomez, Chairman New Mexico Weights and Measures

Reference Key Number

200 INTRODUCTION

The Laws and Regulations Committee (Committee) will address the following items at its Interim Meeting. Table A identifies agenda items by Reference Key Number, title, and page number. The first three digits of the Reference Key Numbers of the items are assigned from the subject series listed below. The fact that an item may appear on the agenda does not mean it will be presented to the NCWM for a vote, The Committee may withdraw some items, present some items for information and further study, issue interpretations, or make specific recommendations for changes to the publications listed below. The recommendations presented in this agenda are statements of proposal and not necessarily recommendations of the Committee. The appendices to the report are listed in Table B.

This agenda contains recommendations to amend National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Handbook 130, "Uniform Laws and Regulations," 2004 edition, and NIST Handbook 133, "Checking the Net Contents of Packaged Goods," Fourth Edition. Revisions proposed for the handbooks are shown in **bold face print** by crossing out information to be deleted and <u>underlining</u> information to be added. Additions proposed for the handbooks are designated as such and are shown in **bold face print**. Proposals presented for information only are designated as such and are shown in *italic* type. "SI" means the International System of Units. "FPLA" means the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act. The section mark, "\$," is used in most references in the text and is followed by the section number and title, (for example, § 1.2. Weight.) When used in this report, the term "weight" means "mass."

Subject Series			
Introduction			
Uniform Laws	220 Series		
Weights and Measures Law (WML)			
Weighmaster Law (WL)	222 Series		
Engine Fuels, Petroleum Products, and Automotive Lubricants Inspection Law (EFL)	223 Series		
Uniform Regulations	230 Series		
Packaging and Labeling Regulation (PLR)			
Method of Sale Regulation (MSR)			
Unit Pricing Regulation (UPR)	233 Series		
Voluntary Registration Regulation (VRR)	234 Series		
Open Dating Regulation (ODR)	235 Series		
Uniform National Type Evaluation Regulation (UNTER)			
Engine Fuels, Petroleum Products, and Automotive Lubricants Regulation (EFR)	237 Series		
Examination Procedure for Price Verification.	240 Series		
Interpretations and Guidelines	250 Series		
NIST Handbook 133	260 Series		
Other Items	270 Sorios		

	Table A	
	Index to Reference Key Items	
Ref. Key N	To. Title of Item	Page
221 UI	NIFORM WEIGHTS AND MEASURES LAW	4
	Update Terminology	
232 M	ETHOD OF SALE REGULATION	7
	Temperature Compensation for Petroleum Products	
AA4 TH		
	NIFORM REGULATION FOR THE VOLUNTARY REGISTRATION OF SERVICEPERSONS ND SERVICE AGENCIES	8
	Update Terminology	
225 -	NOTICE EVEN OF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY AND A METOMORY MADE AND A METOMORY MAD A METOMORY MAD A METOMORY MADE AND A METOMORY MADE AND A METOMOR	
	NGINE FUELS, PETROLEUM PRODUCTS, AND AUTOMOTIVE LUBRICANTS EGULATION	10
	Biodiesel Fuel Identification and Labeling	
	Premium Diesel Lubricity	
260 NI	IST HANDBOOK 133, CHECKING THE NET CONTENTS OF PACKAGED GOODS	12
	Amend § 2.3 Basic Test Procedure, and Table 2-5	
	Amend § 3.11 and MAV Table 2-10	
260-3	Work Group to Revise MAV Tables	14
270 O'	THER	14
	Tare on Case-Ready Packages of Meat	
	Table B	
	Appendices	
Appendix	Title	Page
A	The state of December 2 and a state of the s	A 1
A Sa	imple List of Documentary Standards for Item #221-1	Al

Daily Schedule

Sunday, January 23

1:00 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.

Committee Review Session: This session is open to all NCWM members but participation in the discussion is generally limited to members of the Committee.

Monday, January 24

8:30 a.m. - noon Committee Open Hearings: Comments will be accepted on the following topics:

- 221 Uniform Weights and Measures Law
- 232 Method of Sale Regulation
- 234 Uniform Regulation for the Voluntary Registration of Servicepersons and Service Agencies
- Engine Fuels, Petroleum Products, and Automotive Lubricants Regulation
- NIST Handbook 133
- 270 Other Items

1:00 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.Joint L&R and S&T Committees Open Hearing and Discussion on Temperature Compensated Sales of Petroleum Products

Tuesday, January 25

8:30 a.m. - noon Committee Open Hearings (continued): Comments will continue to be accepted

on the above topics.

1:00 p.m. - 5:00 p.m. Committee Work Session: This session is open to all NCWM members but

participation in the discussion is generally limited to members of the Committee.

Wednesday, January 26

8:30 a.m. - 11:00 a.m. Committee Work Session: This session is open to all NCWM members but

participation in the discussion is generally limited to members of the Committee.

11:00 a.m. - noon Joint Session with all Standing Committees

Details of all Items

(In order by Reference Key Number)

221 UNIFORM WEIGHTS AND MEASURES LAW

221-1 **Update Terminology**

Source: Southern Weights and Measures Association (SWMA)

Recommendation: Amend and add to the following sections:

Amend the Table of Contents as follows:

Table of Contents

Section	Page
1. Definitions	21
1.4. Primary Standards, Primary	21
1.5. Secondary Standards, Secondary	21
•	
1.14. Standards, Field	23
1.14. Standards, Field	23
1.16. Calibration	23
1.17. Traceability	23
1.18. Uncertainty	23
1.19. Verification	23
1.20. Recognition	23
1.18. Uncertainty 1.19. Verification	23
1.22. Standards, Working	23

Amend Sections 1.4 and 1.5 as follows:

1.4. Primary Standards, Primary. -- The term "primary standards" means the physical standards of the State that serve as the legal reference from which all other standards for weights and measures are derived. A standard that is designated or widely acknowledged as having the highest metrological quality and whose value is accepted without reference to another standard of the same quantity.

(Amended 200X)

1.5. Secondary-Standards, Secondary -- The term "secondary standards" means the physical standards that are traceable to the primary standards through comparisons, using acceptable laboratory procedures, and used in the enforcement of weights and measures laws and regulations. A standard whose value is assigned by comparison with a primary standard of the same quantity.

(Amended 200X)

Add Sections 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 1.18, 1.19, 1.20, 1.21, and 1.22 as follows:

1.14. Standard, Field. -- A physical standard that meet specifications and tolerances in NIST 105-series standards (or other suitable and designated standards) and is traceable to the reference or working standards through comparisons, using acceptable laboratory procedures, and used in conjunction with commercial weighing and measuring equipment (1.13).

(Added 200X)

1.15. Accreditation. -- A formal recognition by a recognized Accreditation Body that a laboratory is competent to carry out specific tests or calibrations or types of tests or calibrations. NOTE: Accreditation does not ensure compliance of standards to appropriate specifications.

(Added 200X)

1.16. Calibration. -- A set of operations which establish, under specified conditions, the relationship between values indicated by a measuring instrument or measuring system, or values represented by a material measure, and the corresponding known values of a measurand.

Also: Comparison of a measurement standard or instrument with another standard or instrument to detect, correlate, report, or eliminate by adjustment any inaccuracy of the compared.

(Added 200X)

1.17. Traceability. -- The property of the result of a measurement or the value of a standard whereby it can be related to stated references, usually national or international standards, through an unbroken chain of comparisons all having stated uncertainties.

(Added 200X)

1.18. Uncertainty. -- A Parameter associated with the result of a measurement that characterizes the dispersion of the values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurance.

(Added 200X)

1.19. Verification. -- The formal evaluation of a standard or device against the specifications and tolerances for determining conformance.

(Added 200X)

1.20. Recognition. -- A formal recognition by NIST Weights and Measures Division that a laboratory has demonstrated the ability to provide traceable measurement results and is competent to carry out specific tests or calibrations or types of tests or calibrations.

(Added 200X)

1.21. Standard, Reference. -- A standard, generally of the highest metrological quality available at a given location, from which measurements made at that location are derived. The term "reference standards" means the physical standards of the State that serve as the legal reference from which all other standards for weights and measures within that State are derived.

(Added 200X)

1.22. Standard, Working. -- A standard that is usually calibrated against a reference standard, and is used routinely to calibrate or check material measures, measuring instruments, or reference materials. The term "working standards" means the physical standards that are traceable to the reference standards through comparisons, using acceptable laboratory procedures, and used in the enforcement of weights and measures laws and regulations.

(Added 200X)

Amend Section 3 as follows:

Section 3. Physical Standards

Weights and measures that are traceable to the U.S. prototype standards supplied by the Federal Government, or approved as being satisfactory by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, shall be the State **primary** reference and working standards of weights and measures, and shall be maintained in such calibration as prescribed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology as demonstrated through laboratory accreditation or recognition. All secondary field standards may be prescribed by the director and shall be verified upon their initial receipt, and as often thereafter as deemed necessary by the director.

(Amended 200X)

Amend Sections 12a, 12h, and 12p as follows:

Section 12. Powers and Duties of the Director

The Director shall:

a. maintain traceability of the State standards to the national standards in the possession of the National Institute of Standards and Technology as demonstrated through laboratory accreditation or recognition;

(Amended 200X)

h. **test annually the verify the field** standards for weights and measures used by any **eity or county jurisdiction** within the State, **before being put into service, tested annually or as often thereafter as deemed necessary by the director based on statistically evaluated data,** and approve the same when found to be correct;

(Amended 200X)

p. provide for the training of weights and measures personnel, and may establish minimum training and performance requirements which shall then be met by all weights and measures personnel, whether county, municipal, or State. The director may adopt the training standards of the National Conference on Weights and Measures' National Training Program and the laboratory metrology standards specified by the NIST accreditation and/or recognition requirements; and

(Added 1991; Amended 200X)

Discussion: This item came to the Southern Weights and Measures Association from the NIST Handbook Update Work Group in conjunction with Item 234-1, and Specifications and Tolerances (S&T) Committee Item 360-2. It is the intent of the Work Group that these three items be considered together.

One of the reasons for these proposals is to update the terminology used in Handbooks 130 and 44 to conform to international definitions. Terms such as "primary standard" and "secondary standard" have been updated to reflect the international usage of these terms. Terms like "reference standard," "field standard," "traceability," and "uncertainty" have been added to reflect their use within these documents. The changes proposed also allow state directors to exercise more discretion when evaluating calibration intervals, referencing documentary standards, and accepting calibration reports.

This particular proposal allows state directors to change the calibration interval for field standards (which are now required to be calibrated annually). This proposal permits a jurisdiction to collect historical calibration data, including "as-found" measurements, to evaluate whether or not an annual calibration interval is appropriate for a particular type of standard. Based on a statistical analysis of its historical data, a lab may find that its stainless steel field weights only need to be calibrated once every 5 years, while their cast iron weights need to be calibrated every 6 months. The intent is to save jurisdictions time and money by setting calibration intervals at suitable frequencies rather than at arbitrary fixed intervals. This should also lead to improved inspection accuracy by ensuring field standards are within tolerance during

the entire calibration interval. Laboratory metrologists should be familiar with adjusting calibration intervals for laboratory standards, and may be a useful resource for both providing data and doing the statistical analysis. Jurisdictions that need more information or assistance with statistical approaches to changing calibration intervals may consult the National Conference of Standards Laboratories International (NCSLI) Recommended Practice (RP) #1, "Establishment & Adjustment of Calibration Intervals."

These proposed changes would have relatively little effect on state and local weights and measures programs. There is no mandate for a jurisdiction to change the way that it currently operates. The proposed changes would serve only to increase control and flexibility when evaluating things like field standard calibration intervals and the acceptance of accredited private lab calibration reports. Much of what is being proposed reflects practices already occurring in jurisdictions across the country.

232 METHOD OF SALE REGULATION

232-1 Temperature Compensation for Petroleum Products

Source: Southern Weights and Measures Association (SWMA). (See item 232-4 in the Report of the 89th NCWM Annual Meeting in 2004.)

Recommendation: Amend the Method of Sale Regulation in Handbook 130 by adding the following:

- <u>2.20.X.</u> Petroleum Products. Where not in conflict with other statutes or regulations, petroleum products delivered through a vehicle-tank meter or stationary meter shall be sold with the volume adjusted to compensate for temperature. When petroleum products are sold temperature compensated:
- (1) All sales shall be in terms of liters or U.S. gallons at 15 °C (60 °F);
- (2) The temperature compensation shall be accomplished through adjustable automatic means;
- (3) The primary indicating elements, recording elements, and all recorded representations (receipts, invoices, bills of lading, etc.) shall be clearly and conspicuously marked to show that the volume delivered has been adjusted to the volume at 15 °C (60 °F);
- (4) All sales by the same company over at least a consecutive 12-month period must be sold temperature compensated (i.e., a company may not choose to operate some devices with automatic temperature compensators and others without; nor may a company choose to engage the automatic temperature compensator on a device only during certain times of the year).

Discussion: Selling fuel adjusted to the volume at $15\,^{\circ}$ C ($60\,^{\circ}$ F) throughout the distribution system is the most equitable way fuel can be sold without the buyer or seller gaining a competitive advantage. Allowing a distributor to buy product at wholesale by gross volume and sell it at retail by net volume is not equitable. In addition, a single method of sale should be required so a prospective customer can make value comparisons between sellers. There is no practical way customers can make value comparisons when some locations sell product temperature compensated and other locations sell the same product without temperature compensation.

This item is considered in conjunction with a temperature compensation item that is before the Specifications and Tolerances (S&T) Committee, Item 331-1, although the S&T Committee's item is limited to vehicle-tank meters. The Committee believes this is an important issue that should be given careful consideration. The Committee also believes this item needs to be discussed with parties that may be affected by its adoption. The Committee has requested authorization and funding from the Board of Directors to establish a Work Group to bring together interested parties and build a consensus on the best way to approach this issue.

A similar proposal was made by the NEWMA in 2000 that mirrored a temperature compensation item before the S&T Committee at the time. In 2000 the NEWMA noted that Pennsylvania, New Hampshire, Maine, and Canada permit

temperature compensation in sales of products like home heating fuel and retail gasoline. In 2001 the Committee withdrew this item after hearing testimony from several jurisdictions that opposed it.

234 UNIFORM REGULATION FOR THE VOLUNTARY REGISTRATION OF SERVICEPERSONS AND SERVICE AGENCIES

234-1 Update Terminology

Source: Southern Weights and Measures Association

Recommendation: Amend Sections 1 (Policy), 5 (Minimum Equipment), 8 (Placed in Service Report), and 9 (Examination of Calibration or Certification of Standards and Testing Equipment) as follows:

Section 1. Policy

For the benefit of the users, manufacturers, and distributors of commercial weighing and measuring devices, it shall be the policy of the Director of Weights and Measures, hereinafter referred to as "Director," to accept registration of (a) an individual and (b) an agency providing acceptable evidence that he, she, or it is fully qualified by training or experience to install, service, repair, or recondition a commercial weighing or measuring device; has a thorough working knowledge of all appropriate weights and measures laws, orders, rules, and regulations; and has possession of, or has available for use, and will use <u>suitable and</u> calibrated weights and measures <u>field</u> standards and testing equipment appropriate in design and adequate in amount. (An employee of the government shall not be eligible for registration.)

The Director will check the qualifications of each applicant. It will be necessary for an applicant to have available sufficient <u>field</u> standards and equipment (see § 5).

It shall also be the policy of the Department to issue to qualified applicants, whose applications for registration are approved, a "Certificate of Registration." This gives authority to remove rejection seals and tags placed on Commercial and Law-Enforcement Weighing and Measuring Devices by authorized weights and measures officials, to place in service repaired devices that were rejected, or to place in service devices that have been newly installed.

The Director is NOT guaranteeing the work or fair dealing of a Registered Serviceperson or Service Agency. He will, however, remove from the registration list any Registered Serviceperson or Service Agency that performs unsatisfactory work or takes unfair advantage of a device owner.

Registration with the Director shall be on a voluntary basis. The Director shall reserve the right to limit or reject the application of any Serviceperson or Service Agency and to revoke his, her, or its permit to remove rejection seals or tags for good cause.

This policy shall in no way preclude or limit the right and privilege of any individual or agency not registered with the Director to install, service, repair, or recondition a commercial weighing or measuring device. (see § 7).

(Added 1966; Amended 1984 and 200X)

Section 5. Minimum Equipment

Applicants must have available sufficient standards and equipment to adequately test devices as set forth in the Notes section of each applicable code in NIST Handbook 44, "Specifications, Tolerances, and Other Technical Requirements for Weighing and Measuring Devices." When applicable, the quipment will meet the specifications of National Institute of Standards and Technology Handbook 105-1, "Specifications and Tolerances for Reference Standards and Field Standard Weights and Measures, Specifications and Tolerances for Field Standards and Tolerances for Reference Standards and Field Standard Weights and Measures, Specifications and Tolerances for Reference Standards and Field Standard Weights and Measures, Specifications and Tolerances for Field Standard Measuring Flasks," or National Institute of Standards and

Technology Handbook 105-3, "Specifications and Tolerances for Reference Standards and Field Standard Weights and Measures, Specifications and Tolerances for Graduated Neck Type Volumetric Field Standards." series standards (or other suitable and designated standards). This section shall not preclude the use of additional field standards and/or equipment, as approved by the Director, for uniform evaluation of device performance. See also § 9.

(Added 1984; Amended 200X)

Section 8. Placed in Service Report

The Director shall furnish each Registered Serviceperson and Registered Service Agency with a supply of report forms to be known as "Placed in Service Reports." Such a form shall be executed in triplicate, shall include the assigned registration number, and shall be signed by a Registered Serviceperson or by a serviceperson representing a Registered Agency for each rejected device restored to service and for each newly installed device placed in service. Within 24 hours after a device is restored to service or placed in service, the original of the properly executed Placed in Service Report, together with any official rejection tag removed from the device, shall be **mailed forwarded** to the Director at (address). The duplicate copy of the report shall be handed to the owner or operator of the device, and the triplicate copy of the report shall be retained by the Registered Serviceperson or Registered Service Agency.

(Added 1966; Amended 200X)

Section 9. Examination and Calibration or Certification of Standards and Testing Equipment

All <u>field</u> standards that are used for servicing and testing weights and measures devices for which competence is registered shall be submitted to the Director for <u>initial and subsequent examination and certification verification and calibration</u> at intervals determined by the director. A Registered Serviceperson or Registered Service Agency shall not use in servicing commercial weighing or measuring devices any <u>field</u> standards or testing equipment that have not been <u>certified calibrated or verified</u> by the Director. <u>Equipment calibrated by another State weights and measures laboratory that can show evidence of measurement traceability to the National Institute of Standards and Technology will also be recognized as equipment that is suitable for use by Registered Servicepersons or Registered Service Agencies in this State. <u>The Director may accept calibration and/or verification reports from any laboratory that is formally accredited or recognized.</u> The Director shall maintain a list of organizations from whom the State will accept calibration reports under reciprocity agreements. The State shall retain the right under reciprocity agreements to periodically monitor calibration results and/or to verify field standard compliance to specifications and tolerances when field standards are initially placed into service or at any intermediate point between calibrations.</u>

(Added 1966; Amended 1984, and 1999, and 200X)

Discussion: This item came to the Southern Weights and Measures Association from the NIST Handbook Update Work Group in conjunction with Item 221-1, and Specifications and Tolerances (S&T) Committee Item 360-2. It is the intent of the Work Group that these three items be considered together.

One of the reasons for these proposals is to update the terminology used in Handbooks 130 and 44 to conform to international definitions. Terms such as "primary standard" and "secondary standard" have been updated to reflect the international usage of these terms. Terms like "reference standard," "field standard," "traceability," and "uncertainty" have been added to reflect their use within these documents. The changes proposed allow state directors to exercise additional discretion when evaluating calibration intervals, referencing documentary standards, and accepting calibration reports.

This particular proposal grants state directors the freedom to reference ASTM, OIML, or other suitable documentary standards, in addition to NIST documents, when defining specifications for field standards. Currently, some standards being used in the field have no corresponding NIST document defining their specifications. Allowing ASTM, OIML, or other suitable documentary standards to be referenced would fill this void. State directors must be able to evaluate the

impact of a field standard that deviates from documentary standards and assess how it might affect measurement results, functionality, efficiency, and safety. State directors would have the authority and flexibility to accept and designate field standards and to grandfather or otherwise allow deviations from standard specifications. State directors may choose to require unique calibration intervals for these deviant field standards, or they may reject and/or confiscate the deviant standard based on evaluation results. For further guidance on documentary standards that may be used as specifications and tolerances for field standards, please see Appendix A.

This particular proposal would also allow state directors to accept calibration reports from accredited industry laboratories in addition to NIST/WMD-recognized state laboratories. If a private laboratory is accredited by a National Cooperation for Laboratory Accreditation (NACLA)-approved accreditation body, or recognized by NIST/WMD as capable of providing traceable measurement results, a state director may decide whether or not s/he wants to accept the calibration reports of the lab after evaluating the scope of accreditation and assessing the lab's measurement uncertainty. Initial verification of field standards may still be required, however, since a calibration report provides no guarantee the equipment meets specifications. Accreditation is not conformity assessment and should not be used for that purpose. State metrologists and technical experts at NIST may be able to assist in evaluating the acceptability of outside calibration reports.

These proposed changes would have relatively little effect on state and local weights and measures programs. There is no mandate for a jurisdiction to change the way that it currently operates. The proposed changes would serve only to increase local control and flexibility when evaluating things like field standard calibration intervals and the acceptance of accredited private lab calibration reports. Much of what is being proposed reflects practices already occurring in jurisdictions across the country.

237 ENGINE FUELS, PETROLEUM PRODUCTS, AND AUTOMOTIVE LUBRICANTS REGULATION

237-1 Biodiesel Fuel Identification and Labeling

Source: Central Weights and Measures Association (CWMA). (See item 237-3B in the Report of the 89th NCWM Annual Meeting in 2004.)

Recommendation: Amend the Handbook 130 Engine Fuels, Petroleum Products, and Automotive Lubricants Regulation by adding the following.

3.15. Biodiesel.

- 3.15.1. Identification of Product. Biodiesel and biodiesel blends shall be identified by the capital letter B followed by the numerical value representing the volume percentage of biodiesel fuel. (Examples: B100; B20)
- 3.15.2. Labeling of Retail Dispensers of Biodiesel and Biodiesel Blends. Each retail dispenser of biodiesel or biodiesel blend shall be labeled with the capital letter B followed by the numerical value representing the volume percentage of biodiesel fuel and ending with the word 'biodiesel.' (Examples: B100 biodiesel; B20 biodiesel)
- 3.15.3. Documentation for Dispenser Labeling Purposes. The retailer shall be provided, at the time of delivery of the fuel, with a declaration of the volume percent biodiesel on an invoice, bill of lading, shipping paper, or other document. This documentation is for dispenser labeling purposes only; it is the responsibility of any potential blender to determine the amount of biodiesel in the diesel fuel prior to blending.
- 3.15.4. Exemption. Biodiesel blends containing 5° or less biodiesel by volume are exempted from requirements 3.15.1., 3.15.2., and 3.15.3.

Discussion: The Committee has been working on this item since 2002 and has been monitoring the activities of ASTM with regard to biodiesel fuels. The Committee has decided to continue moving forward with identification and labeling requirements for biodiesel blends containing more than 5 % biodiesel by volume despite ASTM's indecision on this

topic. The Committee feels it is important for consumers to be properly informed about what is being offered for sale so they can make informed purchases. The Committee has been informed that ASTM is considering changing the "Fill and Go" specifications in D 975 to include biodiesel blends of 20 % or less. If this is the direction ASTM ultimately adopts, the Committee may need to re-evaluate the requirements for fuels between 5 % and 20 % biodiesel.

Background: Existing laws and regulations require accurate and adequate information to be placed on commodities to allow consumers to make price and quantity comparisons. For our economy to function properly, consumers must also be able to rely on manufacturers' product "claims." Products must meet manufacturer specifications and claims.

When ASTM first developed the biodiesel specification in 1993 it proposed a specification for biodiesel used as a pure fuel, called B100. However, several engine manufacturers had reservations about B100 biodiesel because they had no experience using blends over 20 % (B20). Engine manufacturers recommend that users consult with their engine manufacturer before using biodiesel blends above 5 % (B5) as concerns related to costs, rubber and gasket compatibility, and cold flow properties exist with these blends. While experience over the last 10 years and 40 million on-road miles has shown that biodiesel blends of up to 20 % (B20) do not require modifications to the fuel systems of conventional diesel engines, the manufacturers of these engines still promote caution when using biodiesel blends over 5 % (B5).

ASTM is considering classifying biodiesel blends between B5 and B20 "Fill and Go" since they do not generally require changes to the engine or fuel system. However, biodiesel levels higher than B20 may need to have different gaskets and hoses. While blends of biodiesel over 20 % are not readily available in today's market place, they may be in the not too distant future. Therefore, the biodiesel industry supports accurate labeling for all fuel dispensers and encourages the NCWM to adopt these recommendations.

An issue that remains, however, is the opportunity for the facilitation of fraud by claiming inaccurate percentages of biodiesel. Biodiesel blends cost significantly more than conventional diesel fuels. As such, there is the possibility that unscrupulous fuel distributors may advertise a higher concentration of biodiesel than they are delivering and thus derive undue profits. If a distributor claims that they are selling B20 and they are putting in only 1 % the distributor is misrepresenting the product. The biodiesel industry claims this is not a pump labeling issue but an enforcement issue.

Part of the problem with a strict percentage labeling requirement is that as biodiesel blends become more "mainstream" the percentage of biodiesel added may vary from day-to-day depending on the needs of the distributor. Currently this practice is discouraged by the relatively high cost of biodiesel. However, as the price of biodiesel moves closer to the price of diesel fuel it becomes just one of the myriad of compounds which could make up conventional diesel fuel. Refiners could blend in biodiesel to reduce the sulfur content or aromatic content of the finished blend. They could use it to replace their existing lubricity additives. If the price of biodiesel was more equal to diesel they may add 1 % today, 5 % the next day, and 20 % the following day. Theoretically, as long as the finished blend meets the ASTM D 975 "Fill and Go" specification the level of biodiesel could range as high as 20 % without consequence. Labeling requirements that are too restrictive would eliminate the flexibility of the "Fill and Go" concept and could significantly reduce the amount of biodiesel that is eventually used.

ASTM is currently developing a Biodiesel "Fill and Go" specification for D 975 that is not based on the parent fuels, but on the finished fuel and what is satisfactory for operation in a diesel engine. This may also mean changes to D 6751, which is a stand-alone specification. The current thinking is that the upper biodiesel concentration limit for the D 975 "Fill and Go" specification will be 20 % although it is possible that it could ultimately be higher or lower. Whatever the concentration of biodiesel, if the finished blend meets the D 975 "Fill and Go" specification the fuel is D 975-grade diesel fuel and would have to be labeled as such. Some industry members believe that existing labeling requirements in Handbook 130 are sufficient to address this situation.

237-2 Premium Diesel Lubricity

Source: Southern Weights and Measures Association (SWMA)

Recommendation: Amend § 2.2.1. as follows:

- 2.2.1. Premium Diesel Fuel All diesel fuels identified on retail dispensers, bills of lading, invoices, shipping papers, or other documentation with terms such a premium, super, supreme, plus, or premier must conform to the following requirements:
 - (a) Cetane Number A minimum cetane number of 47.0 as determined by ASTM Standard Test Method D 613.
 - (b) Low Temperature Operability A cold flow performance measurement which meets the ASTM D 975 tenth percentile minimum ambient air temperature charts and maps by either ASTM Standard Test Method D 2500 (Cloud Point) or ASTM Standard Test Method D 4539 (Low Temperature Flow Test, LTFT). Low temperature operability is only applicable October 1 March 31 of each year.
 - (c) Thermal Stability A minimum reflectance measurement of 80 % as determined by ASTM Standard Test Method D 6468 (180 min, 150 °C).
 - (d) Lubricity A maximum wear scar diameter of 520 μm as determined by ASTM D 6079. If an enforcement jurisdiction's singe test of more than 560 μm is determined, a second test shall be conducted. If the average of the two tests is more than 560 μm, the sample does not conform to the requirements of this part.

Discussion: The test tolerance for lubricity on premium diesel specified in 2.2.1.(d) is inconsistent with that for regular diesel. Effective January 1, 2005 the test tolerance for regular diesel lubricity will be the ASTM D 6070 reproducibility of 136 μ m (see ASTM D 975-04b). The NCWM has chosen to accept the ASTM reproducibility limits for all diesel (D 975) and gasoline (D 4814) properties (see § 7.2.2., Reproducibility) but has chosen a different reproducibility limit for premium diesel lubricity without providing any explanation as to why the ASTM reproducibility limit is insufficient. If the NCWM intends to impose a stricter lubricity requirement for premium diesel it should designate a tighter specification for this property instead of a different test tolerance (e.g., for regular and premium gasoline, premium has a different octane specification than regular but the test tolerance is the same). ASTM reproducibility limits are, by definition, based on establishing a 95 % probability that product that should pass, will pass. Applying an average test as specified in 2.2.1.(d) reduces this probability to only 80 %.

260 NIST HANDBOOK 133, CHECKING THE NET CONTENTS OF PACKAGED GOODS

260-1 Amend § 2.3 Basic Test Procedure, and Table 2-5

Source: Central Weights and Measures Association (CWMA). (See item 260-4 in the Report of the 89th NCWM Annual Meeting in 2004.)

Recommendation: Amend Handbook 133 § 2.3 as follows:

Where are Maximum Allowable Variations found?

Find the MAV values for packages labeled by weight, volume, count, and measure in the tables listed below in Appendix A.

•	Packages labeled by weight	See Table 2-5
•	Packages labeled by volume liquid or dry	See Table 2-6
•	Packages labeled by count	See Table 2-7
•	Packages labeled by length (width), or area	See Table 2-8
•	Packages labeled with bearing a USDA seal of inspection - Meat and Poultry when labeled weight is provided by the USDA inspected facility	See Table 2-9
•	Textiles, polyethylene sheeting and film, mulch and soil labeled by volume, packaged firewood, and packages labeled by count with less than 50 items	See Table 2-10

Amend the Header of Table 2-5 as follows:

Table 2-5. Maximum Allowable Variations (MAVs) for Packages Labeled by Weight

Do Not Use This Table fF or Meat and Poultry Products subject to USDA Regulations when Labeled Weight is

Provided by USDA-Inspected Facility – Use Table 2-9

For Polyethylene Sheeting and Film, see Table 2-10. Exceptions to the MAVs.

Discussion: This proposal was originally intended to more clearly define when the USDA lower limits should apply (Table 2-9) and when MAVs should apply (Table 2-5) to packages of meat and poultry. This item was informational on the Committee's agenda in 2004 and NIST was granted editorial privileges to amend Handbook 133 to include this proposal. However, after researching the issue NIST believes that this proposal is in conflict with language adopted by the USDA. The USDA requires that the Table 2-9 lower limits be applied to any "meat and poultry product subject to USDA requirements." The language adopted by the USDA does not distinguish between packages packed and weighed at a USDA plant and packages packed at a USDA plant but weighed elsewhere; it simply requires that any package subject to USDA jurisdiction be tested with the USDA lower limits. NIST cannot include language in Handbook 133 that is in conflict with federal regulations.

260-2 Amend § 3.11 and MAV Table 2-10

Source: Western Weights and Measures Association (WWMA). (See item 260-6 in the Report of the 89th NCWM Annual Meeting in 2004.)

Recommendation: Amend the application and header of Handbook 133 Table 2-10 as follows to allow the MAVs that apply to Mulch and Soil to also apply to similar products, such as Wood Shavings and Animal Bedding:

Table 2-10. Exceptions to the Maximum Allowable Variations for Textiles, Polyethylene Sheeting and Film, Mulch and, Soil, and Other Similar Products

Packaged Firewood, and

Packages Labeled by Count with Less than 50 Items

Amend Handbook 133 § 3.11 to read:

3.11. Mulch and, Soil, and Other Similar Products Labeled by Volume

Discussion: A manufacturer of wood fiber products believes wood shavings, labeled by volume, should receive the same MAV exceptions as mulch and soils or peat moss. The wood fiber products in question could conceivably be used as animal bedding, insulation, mulch (a horticultural above-ground dressing), etc. The reasons for establishing expanded MAVs for Mulch and Soil may also apply to other similar products. Item 250-10, which was adopted at the 83rd National Conference on Weights and Measures in 1998 and was entitled "Bark Mulch, an Other Organic Products - Maximum Allowable Variations," discussed the reasoning and the necessity for expanded MAVs in certain circumstances.

The Committee is concerned that the manufacturer who is seeking this additional allowance has not provided sufficient data to support their position. There is an established procedure for evaluating MAVs and the manufacturer has not followed it. The Committee feels this item needs to be further developed by the manufacturer in conjunction with a regulatory agency to provide the Committee with reliable data upon which to base any decision.

In addition, concerns have been raised about the expansion of the mulch, soil, and peat moss sections to "Other Similar Products." What are "Other Similar Products?" Are they products that are used in similar applications? If so, and "Other Similar Products" is intended to extend to pet beddings made of wood shavings, should it also be extended to pet beddings made of paper (also a wood product)? What about pet beddings made from other substances (clay, straw, etc.)? It is believed that the language proposed is overly broad and needs to be better defined to capture the product under consideration without including products that do not require the larger MAV.

260-3 Work Group to Revise MAV Tables

Source: Northeast Weights and Measures Association (NEWMA)

Recommendation: The NCWM should establish a work group to revise the MAV tables in Handbook 133

Discussion: The following information was provided to the Committee by the original proponent of this item: Current MAV values in Handbook 133 are not in harmony with the Canadian and European standards. MAV values used by U.S. companies should be acceptable in international commerce. There are inconsistencies between the MAVs applied to USDA products and the MAVs applied to all other products. These inconsistencies need to be reconciled. The present MAVs need to be updated to reflect modern packaging technology. Industry standards today are capable of holding to stricter tolerances and the MAV values in Handbook 133 should reflect this.

Unfortunately, an effort of this type will require a significant investment of both time and money. The current MAV values were established from extensive data collected in the 1970s. This data was collected over a number of years by looking at vast quantities of a wide variety of products. While this data is undoubtedly somewhat outdated, the overriding question is whether or not any improvement in the values will justify the money and resources necessary to compile the data. It is important to note that the data upon which the Canadian and European standards are based is no more recent that our own.

270 OTHER

270-1 Tare on Case-Ready Packages of Meat

Source: Central Weights and Measures Association (CWMA)

Recommendation: The NCWM should petition the USDA to request a rule change that would require packers of case-ready consumer-sized packages of beef and pork to print the individual consumer package tare weights on the outside of the shipping case.

Discussion: For several years now the USDA has required packers of case-ready poultry to print the individual consumer package tare weights on the outside of the shipping case. This proposal would extend this requirement to packers of case-ready meat (beef and pork) products.

As retail stores eliminate butcher shops, weights and measures officials are seeing more packages of meat that are shipped case-ready (i.e., the meat is already portioned into individual packages, wrapped, and labeled with all required information except weight). Retailers are required to label these packages with the correct weight before making them available for sale. However, retailers don't know what tare deduction to take and are reluctant to open a reasonable sample of packages to determine an average tare weight. This has led to inaccurate tares being used with these products.

Unfortunately, the implementation of this requirement for poultry has taught us that placing tare weights on shipping cases is an imperfect system that poses several challenges. First, inspections have shown that the tare weights printed on poultry shipping cases are often inaccurate -- packers use unused dry tare for this determination and don't appear to include the weight of all the tare materials. Retailers often rely on these tares to their detriment. The inaccuracy of these

tares may leave a jurisdiction with the difficult decision of who to prosecute -- the retailer (for selling a short-weight product) or the packer (for declaring an inaccurate tare). The fact that the packer falls under USDA jurisdiction and oversight may also cause additional hurdles for a jurisdiction that wants to prosecute. Second, inspectors often mistakenly rely on the accuracy of these tares when performing inspections. If the tares are inaccurate but inspectors rely on them to perform audit tests, will the inaccuracy ever be discovered? Inspectors must remain vigilant about checking the actual tare of these packages and not rely on the packer for this information. Enforcement action must only be taken on packages where the average used or unused dry tare has been determined.

Joe Gomez, New Mexico, Chairman Joe Benavides, Texas James Cassidy, Cambridge, Massachusetts Vicky Dempsey, Montgomery County, Ohio Dennis Johannes, California

Vince Orr, ConAgra Foods, Associate Member Representative Brian Lemon, Canada, Technical Advisor Doug Hutchinson, Canada, Technical Advisor Kathryn Dresser, NIST, Technical Advisor

Committee on Laws and Regulations

Appendix A

Sample List of Documentary Standards for Item #221-1

Physical Standard	Documentary Standard	Device	Calibration Interval	Notes
Cast Iron Weights	Handbook 105-1	Class III, III L, IV scales	6 months to 1 year	
Stainless Steel Weights	Handbook 105-1	Class III, III L, IV scales	5 years	
Class F1 Weights Class 2 Weights	OIML R111 ASTM E 617-97	Class II scales	1 year	
Glassware	Handbook 105-2	Package testing	10 years	
Test Measures (hand-held and 5-gallon truck or trailer mounted)	Handbook 105-3	Gas pumps	1 year	
Large Provers	Handbook 105-3	Meters	1 year	
LPG Provers	Handbook 105-4	LPG meters	1 year	
Stopwatches	Handbook 105-5	Taxi meters, timing devices, parking meters, laundromats	1 year	
Thermometers	Handbook 105-6	Temperature corrections, refrigeration specifications, package checking	5 years	Annual inspection required
Small Volume Provers	Handbook 105-7	Meters	6 months to 1 year	Need EPO for field testing
Master Meters	API document in development	Master meters for petroleum		
Proving Rings and Load Cells	ASTM E 74	Wheel load weighers, weight carts, large mass standards	Rings: 5 years Cells: 6 months if used for wheel load weighers; evaluate with use for substitution weighing	Depends on use
Weight Carts	Handbook 105-8	Vehicle scales	6 months to 1 year	Needs to be recalibrated with any repair. Need EPO for field use
Hydrometers	ASTM E 100	Petroleum products; bulk oil meters	1 year	
Length Standards, Tapes	GGG-standard	Taxi meters, fabric scale decks, firewood, lobster gauges	5 years	Inspect before use
Containers	Handbook 133	Bulk mulch		
Berry Baskets	Handbook 44	Berry quantity		